

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/883,779	(06/18/2001	Daniel T. Johnson	6740.01 2427	
25763	7590	07/17/2006		EXAM	INER
DORSEY &			POINVIL, FRANTZY		
50 SOUTH S		OPERTY DEPARTN REET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1498				3628	

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/883,779	JOHNSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Frantzy Poinvil	3628					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	av 2006						
_	action is non-final.						
· <u>·</u>	,—						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13,14 and 16-45</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13,14 and 16-45</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)	" 	(870.440)					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/26/01 & 1/3/05.		eatent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is in response to the amendment filed 5/12/2006.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11, 13-14 and 16-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1, 3, 4, 11, 13, 14, 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sims et al (US Patent No. 5,434,775).

As per claims 1, 3, 4, 11, 13, 14, 16-19, Sims et al disclose a system and method for managing an inventory of devices. In so doing, Sims et al teach a system for managing a plurality of assets such as medical devices of one or more enterprises or hospitals and allowing a user to access asset information related to the medical devices. See the abstract. Sims et al disclose a central processor, a database for storing and tracking asset information for each of the plurality of assets of the plurality of enterprises, the database in communication with the central processor, wherein each of the plurality of assets is a piece of equipment, and further wherein the

asset information comprises information relating to ownership, maintenance and repair of the pieces of equipment; wherein the central processor tracks information relevant to managing each of the assets. Applicant is directed to figures 10-14 and column13, lines 4-64 of Sims et al.

Sims et al. further teach the central processor in communication with a computer network through a communication link. See figure 1 of Sims. The database stores asset information in the form of pages which in turn link to other pages. See figures 10-14 of Sims et al.

The central processor is programmed with code for determining an appropriate service provider for a particular asset and alerting the service provider of a service request. See column 15, lines 28-39 of Sims et al.

The central processor is programmed with code for establishing a communication link with the asset interface through the client processor, and the asset interface communicates with the client processor through a wireless communication modality. See columns 13-15 of Sims et al.

The user is an agent of the enterprise, a service provider or an equipment manufacturer.

See column 16, line 64 to column 17, line 29 and column 14, lines 60-67 of Sims et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 5-10 and 20-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sims et al (US Patent No. 5,434,775) in view of Dilger, Karen Abramic (Asset management, maintenance redefined), Manufacturing Systems, v15n7, pp. 122-128, July 2997, CODEN: MASYES< ISSN: 0748-948X, JRNL CODE: MF'S, Dialog file 15, Accession No. 01493159 and/or McGovern et al (5,918,207).

As per claims 2, 6-9 the teachings of Sims et al are discussed above. Sims et al do not explicitly state that their central processor includes a website by at least one computer in communication with a computer network through a communication link. However, Sims et al teach the central computer is hosted by at least one computer in communication with a computer network through a communication link. The Examiner notes that Sims et al provide means for internal and outside computers to communicate with their central processor. Sims et al further teach transmitting reports or fax messages to external computers or fax machines connected to their central processor. See column 15 of Sims et al.

The Examiner notes that web browsing techniques and introduction of the Internet was available at the time of applicant's invention and the motivation of most businesses and enterprises or organization was to provide a web enablement system of their organization computerized system.

Dilger discusses many asset management systems wherein a central database stores information on various assets held by an organization. Users of the organization access a website hosted by at least one server and transmit a service request to the server. See pages 3-7 of the reference. Thus, Dilger discusses receiving a service request at the website for an asset.

Art Unit: 3628

As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sims et al with Dilger so as allow access to the system using web browsing techniques in order to allow users to access the system from anywhere.

The client processor in the combination above would be able to input, query and download asset information from the central processor through the web browser. The central processor is programmed with code for utilizing a user profile, including securable attributes, to limit access to particular asset information. See column 14, lines 60-64 of Sims et al. Sims et al further teach the asset interface communicates with the client processor through a wireless communication modality. Sims et al. also disclose calculating a total cost of ownership for a particular asset or group of assets by updating all transactions stored in the database.

As per claim 5, Sims et al provide means for internal and outside computers to communicate with their central processor. Sims et al further teach transmitting reports or fax messages to external computers or fax machines connected to their central processor. See column 15 of Sims et al. Dilger discusses using the Internet. Thus, providing Email messages to a service provider would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to do in the combination of Sims et al and Dilger since most web browsers have Email capabilities thus, providing Email capabilities in the combination of Dilger and Sims et al would have been used as an alternate communication means and as an instant way of communicating between suppliers, manufacturers and technicians for quick services.

As per claim 10, Sims et al do not explicitly teach the central processor is programmed with code for generating a GIS map locating one of the plurality of enterprise assets. As per this

Art Unit: 3628

feature, the enterprises or financial systems discussed in Sims et al may possess a plurality of different types of assets located in different geographic locations. Using a GIS map for locating the location of automobiles, vehicles or trains is well known in the art at the time of the applicant's invention. If the assets are the like of ambulances, then it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a GIS map for locating assets if the enterprises own assets such as vehicles or transportation assets so as to be informed of their location and conditions so as to better assess the total costs or values of all assets owned by the enterprise.

As per claims 20, 30, 31, 38, 39 and 45, Sims et al disclose a system and method for generating service requests in a highly distributed enterprise such as a hospital to a plurality of service providers from a plurality of distributed asset sites. The method comprising providing a site hosted by at least one server computer in communication with a computer network, the site including a database containing asset information and service provider information; receiving a service request at the site for an asset; automatically selecting an appropriate service provider based on the asset to be serviced and generating an electronic message to the appropriated service provider requesting service. See columns 13-17 of Sims et al. The system of Sims et al. also creates a log listing service requests and additional messages to the service provider if no response has been forthcoming. The service request is generated automatically by an asset interface through a client computer in communication with a computer network.

Sims et al do not explicitly state that their central processor includes a website by at least one computer in communication with a computer network through a communication link.

However, Sims et al teach the central computer is hosted by at least one computer in communication with a computer network through a communication link. The Examiner notes that Sims et al provide means for internal and outside computers to communicate with their central processor. Sims et al further teach transmitting reports or fax messages to external computers or fax machines connected to their central processor. See column 15 of Sims et al.

The Examiner notes that web browsing techniques and introduction of the Internet were available at the time of applicant's invention and the motivation of most businesses and enterprises or organization was to provide a web enablement system of their organization computerized system.

Dilger discusses many asset management systems wherein a central database stores information on various assets held by an organization. Users of the organization access a website hosted by at least one server and transmit a service request to the server. See pages 3-7 of the reference. Thus, Dilger discusses receiving a service request at the website for an asset.

As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sims et al with Dilger so as allow access to the system using web browsing techniques in order to allow users to access the system from anywhere.

As per claims 21-28, the client processor inputs, queries and downloads asset information from the central processor through a web browser. The central processor is programmed with code for utilizing a user profile, including securable attributes, to limit access to particular asset information. See column 14, lines 60-64 of Sims et al. Sims et al further teach the asset interface communicates with the client processor through a wireless communication modality.

Sims et al. also disclose calculating a total cost of ownership for a particular asset or group of assets by updating all transactions stored in the database.

Page 8

Sims et al. further teach the central processor in communication with a computer network through a communication link. See figure 1 of Sims. The database stores asset information in the form of pages which in turn link to other pages. See figures 10-14 of Sims et al.

The central processor is programmed with code for determining an appropriate service provider for a particular asset and alerting the service provider of a service request. See column 15, lines 28-39 of Sims et al.

The central processor is programmed with code for establishing a communication link with the asset interface through the client processor, and the asset interface communicates with the client processor through a wireless communication modality. See columns 13-15 of Sims et al. The user is an agent of the enterprise, a service provider or an equipment manufacturer. See column 16, line 64 to column 17, line 29 and column 14, lines 60-67 of Sims et al.

As per claim 29, the client processor being a kiosk located at an enterprise is not explicitly stated in Sims et al. and Dilger. Sims et al state that client can be any computer system thus meeting a kiosk.

As per claim 32, Sims et al do not explicitly teach the central processor is programmed with code for generating a GIS map locating one of the plurality of enterprise assets. As per this feature, the enterprises or financial systems discussed in Sims et al may possess a plurality of different types of assets located in different geographic locations. Using a GIS map for locating

the location of automobiles, vehicles or trains is well known in the art at the time of the applicant's invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a GIS map for locating assets if the enterprises own assets such as vehicles or transportation assets so as to be informed of their location and conditions so as to better assess the total costs or values of all assets owned by the enterprise.

As per claims 33-37, the client processor inputs, queries and downloads asset information from the central processor through a web browser. The central processor is programmed with code for utilizing a user profile, including securable attributes, to limit access to particular asset information. See column 14, lines 60-64 of Sims et al. Sims et al further teach the asset interface communicates with the client processor through a wireless communication modality. Sims et al. also disclose calculating a total cost of ownership for a particular asset or group of assets by updating all transactions stored in the database. Sims et al. further teach the central processor in communication with a computer network through a communication link. See figure 1 of Sims. The database stores asset information in the form of pages which in turn link to other pages. See figures 10-14 of Sims et al.

The central processor is programmed with code for determining an appropriate service provider for a particular asset and alerting the service provider of a service request. See column 15, lines 28-39 of Sims et al.

The central processor is programmed with code for establishing a communication link with the asset interface through the client processor, and the asset interface communicates with the client processor through a wireless communication modality. See columns 13-15 of Sims et

al. The user is an agent of the enterprise, a service provider or an equipment manufacturer. See column 16, line 64 to column 17, line 29 and column 14, lines 60-67 of Sims et al.

As per claim 40, the teachings of Sims et al and Dilger are discussed above. Sims et al do not explicitly recite using Email. Sims et al provide means for internal and outside computers to communicate with their central processor. Sims et al further teach transmitting reports or fax messages to external computers or fax machines connected to their central processor. See column 15 of Sims et al. Dilger discusses using the Internet. Thus, providing Email messages to a service provider would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to do in the combination of Sims et al and Dilger since most web browsers have Email capabilities thus, providing Email capabilities in the combination of Dilger and Sims et al would have been used as an alternate communication means and as an instant way of communicating between suppliers, manufacturers and technicians for quick services.

As per claim 41, the combined teaching above does not explicitly state the additional step of attaching asset information onto the E-mail. Such would have been obvious to do by the ordinary skill in the art to do in the combination above in order to inform the service provider of malfunctions of the assets to be serviced so as to expedite repairs of the assets needed to be repaired.

As per claim 42, the combination above does not explicitly teach attaching a link to a web page onto the E-mail. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to attach Art Unit: 3628

a link to a web page onto the E-mail in the combined teachings above in order to provide the service with sources where further information regarding the asset may be found so as to expedite repairs of the asset.

As per claims 43 and 44, receiving a service report at the website from the service provider would have been obvious to do in the combination above so as to provide service or repairs made regarding the assets being repaired. Storing asset information in the service report under an appropriate factor would have also been obvious to do in the combination above in order to acknowledge all services made on a particular asset and also to enable easy access and retrieval of such a record.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantzy Poinvil whose telephone number is (571) 272-6797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sam Sough can be reached at (571) 272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,779 Page 12

Art Unit: 3628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Frantzy Poinvil
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3628

FP July 6, 2006